

Missouri Roundtable For Life

MOSIRA & Amendment 2: A Crime Against The Citizens And Taxpayers Of Missouri

Please contact us for documents and bulletins
www.missouriroundtable.org
(314) 854-1381

Paid for by Missouri Roundtable For Life, F. Sauer, Treasurer

A small group of wealthy elites has spent enormous resources during the last six to eight years to deliver to the citizens of Missouri Amendment 2 in 2006 and its implementation legislation, MOSIRA, which has not yet legally passed the Missouri Legislature.

What are talking about here? It is quite simple. The citizens of Missouri have become victims of an exquisite fraud. The 2012 attempt to get an emergency appropriation to fund MOSIRA is one of the key pieces of this fraud. Its precedent cause was the Ballot Summary for Amendment 2 that the voters saw in the voting booth in November of 2006 which was designed to defeat those voters who were instinctively inclined to oppose human cloning.

This summary was vetted and written by Missouri Secretary of State Robin Carnahan as a translation of an arcane and almost indecipherable 2,000-word text of Amendment 2. To understand this text, which almost no one ever read, you needed the assistance of a lawyer and a bio-scientist. It was deliberately designed to discourage inspection. Every aspect of it was designed to mislead the reader. But, most voters accepted at face value its translation by the Secretary of State in the voting booth on November 7, 2006. The voter nullification was:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to allow and set limitations on stem cell research, therapies, and cures which will:

- ...ban human cloning or attempted cloning....;

At the time of this election, the statutes of Missouri contained a definition of Human Cloning. Section 196.1127, RSMo, defines “human cloning” as “the creation of a human being by any means other than by the fertilization of an oocyte of a human female by a sperm of a human male.” This is commonly known as Somatic Cell Nuclear Transfer.

The Ballot Summary assertion, when applied to the existing statutory definition, would give the voter wanting to ban human cloning a strong bias to vote yes on Amendment 2. If they did, they would in fact vote against their own beliefs and intentions.

This points us to the second key element of the Cloners’ exquisite fraud. We now quote from the public brochure used in their pre-election campaign to promote Amendment 2. Here are exact quotes from it:

WHAT IT SAYS

6. As used in this section, the following terms have the following meanings: [NOTE: *To save space in this document, these legal and scientific definitions are not copied here.* If you'd like to read all of the definitions, please visit the website www.MissouriCures.com.] (emphasis added)

WHAT IT DOES

To save space in this document, definitions of the legal and scientific terms in the Initiative are not described here, since the basic effects of the definitions are included in the explanations of the provisions. If you'd like to read the legal and medical definitions, you can view the entire measure by visiting the website www.MissouriCures.com.

Of the eight pages of the proponents' brochure, only five are used to explain the Amendment text. Section 6 of the amendment is a very large section constituting about 35% of the complete text. If this is true, the Cloners would have only had to include approximately an additional two pages in their brochure to put the complete text before the reader. The brochure with the entire text could then have been 10 pages. Apparently, there wasn't enough money left in the \$30,000,000 campaign to print the Section 6 definitions.

Section 6 contained the definition section of the Amendment 2. This technique of not printing these definitions in the public brochure was an essential element of their fraud against the voters of Missouri, who would rely in the Ballot Summary language "will ban human cloning." If you read the Section 6 definition of human cloning, you would understand why the Cloners did not print it in their public campaign brochure. And, by so doing, they contributed another essential element of the ongoing fraud. Here is the Cloners' Section 6 definition of "human cloning:"

'Clone or attempt to clone a human being' means to implant in a uterus or attempt to implant in a uterus anything other than the product of fertilization of an egg of a human female by a sperm of a human male for the purpose of initiating a pregnancy that could result in the creation of a human fetus, or the birth of a human being.

This definition will permit the use of public funds of Missouri to pay for the following types of Research which have already been secretly performed in the laboratories of New Castle University in Great Britain:

Scientists have created more than 150 human-animal hybrid embryos in British laboratories.

The hybrids have been produced secretively over the past three years by researchers looking into possible cures for a wide range of diseases.

The revelation comes just a day after a committee of scientists warned of a nightmare 'Planet of the Apes' scenario in which work on human-animal creations goes too far....

Figures seen by the Daily Mail show that 155 ‘admixed’ embryos, containing both human and animal genetic material, have been created since the introduction of the 2008 Human Fertilisation Embryology Act.

This legalised the creation of a variety of hybrids, including an animal egg fertilised by a human sperm; ‘cybrids’, in which a human nucleus is implanted into an animal cell; and ‘chimeras’, in which human cells are mixed with animal embryos....

Three labs in the UK – at King’s College London, Newcastle University and Warwick University – were granted licences to carry out the research after the Act came into force....

Last night he said: ‘I argued in Parliament against the creation of human- animal hybrids as a matter of principle. None of the scientists who appeared before us could give us any justification in terms of treatment.

‘Ethically it can never be justifiable – it discredits us as a country. It is dabbling in the grotesque.

‘At every stage the justification from scientists has been: if only you allow us to do this, we will find cures for every illness known to mankind. This is emotional blackmail.

‘Of the 80 treatments and cures which have come about from stem cells, all have come from adult stem cells – not embryonic ones. ‘On moral and ethical grounds this fails; and on scientific and medical ones too.’

Josephine Quintavalle, of pro-life group Comment on Reproductive Ethics, said: ‘I am aghast that this is going on and we didn’t know anything about it.

‘Why have they kept this a secret? If they are proud of what they are doing, why do we need to ask Parliamentary questions for this to come to light?

‘The problem with many scientists is that they want to do things because they want to experiment. That is not a good enough rationale....’

How many citizens of Missouri want to have their state government provide a legal sanctuary for this kind of science? How many Missouri taxpayers want their taxes to pay for this kind of research? The Cloners designed every aspect of Amendment 2 to dupe citizens of Missouri into voting for exactly what we don’t want. And, we don’t want our state government creating a state-planned economy for “so-called researchers” coming from around the world to enjoy the legal protections and immunities and freedom from accountability that Amendment 2 grants them. Amendment 2 was an exquisite fraud against the citizens of Missouri. You only have to look at how much money they needed to spend to mislead the voters: \$30,000,000.

And, now comes MOSIRA, which will start to give the Cloners the payback on this \$30,000,000 deception. They intend to get a lot of the taxpayers' money to get a satisfactory return/profit on their \$30,000,000 in the greatest fraud that has ever been perpetrated on Missouri voters by massive voter nullification.

The ongoing fraud of Amendment 2, now manifests itself in a piece of special interest legislation called MOSIRA (Missouri Science and Innovation Reinvestment Act). It will fund human cloning and embryonic stem cell research.

MOSIRA is an attempt by Cloners to subsidize, with state funding, a very broad and complex set of classifications of employment in life science research and innovation in public, private startups, not for-profit institutions and universities.

MOSIRA is the funding vehicle for embryonic stem cell research and human cloning and all the other things that you see being produced at Newcastle University. Just like the 2,000-word text, the text of the law is extremely obscure and difficult to comprehend. It will defeat anyone except the most determined readers. Such is the continuing methodology of the Cloner Fraud.

If you persist you will find a ghastly relic out of failed socialist centrally planned economies like the former Soviet Union.

First of all, the control of funding siphoned off from Missouri taxpayers will be controlled by the Board of the Missouri Technology Corporation (MTC). This Board is made up of just 15 officials. They will basically have absolute power over what we will call the MOSIRA Complex.

The MOSIRA Complex is beyond and out of reach of voter control. Furthermore, the meaning of a Science and Innovation Company is expanded by the inclusion of 45 NACIS industrial groups. The territory where they can exercise their power is incredibly vast. Here is their mandate:

2. The purposes of the corporation are to contribute to the strengthening of the economy of the state through the development of science and innovation, to promote the modernization of Missouri businesses by supporting the transfer of science, technology and quality improvement methods to the workplace; to enhance the productivity and modernization of Missouri businesses by providing leadership in the establishment of methods of technology application, technology commercialization and technology development; to make Missouri businesses, institutions, and universities more competitive and increase their likelihood of success; to support and enhance local and regional strategies and initiatives that capitalize on the unique science and innovation assets across the state; to make Missouri a highly desirable state in which to conduct, facilitate, support, fund, and perform science and innovation research, development, and commercialization; to facilitate and effect the creation, attraction, retention, growth, and enhancement of both existing and new science and innovation companies in the state; to make Missouri a national and international leader in economic activity based on science and innovation; to enhance workforce development; to create and retain quality

jobs; to advance scientific knowledge; and to improve the quality of life for the citizens of the state of Missouri in both urban and rural communities....

How much money could you spend trying to do all this? And, anyway, all of this is going on throughout the American Economy in thousands of private sector biotech companies and drug companies. We understand that it costs about a billion dollars to get a “drug” from concept to the point of being available for sale to the consumer. Is this how much money MOSIRA is going to steal from the citizens of Missouri to provide government subsidies to all of these activities as if they are legitimate functions of government?

What are these people dreaming of? Anything that the MOSIRA centrally planned economy can produce, would be redundant to all this other private sector work. And the private sector, which is making huge advances, must make a profit to survive.

In the MOSIRA Complex, the taxpayers would have to pay all the expenses of people who are underemployed and need a state subsidy to try to exceed the outcomes of the booming private sector companies. The MOSIRA Complex is a tightly controlled entity where a few elites pick winners and award money to their favorites without any accountability. This is not a proper function for constitutional state governance in Missouri.

And, so now we discover yet another element of the fraud of Amendment 2. The fiscal note of the State Auditor at the bottom of the Ballot Summary said:

The proposed constitutional amendment would have an estimated annual fiscal impact on state and local governments of \$0-\$68,916.

In the light of MOSIRA’s mandate, isn’t this now a completely misleading statement in order to avoid adversely affecting voters’ decisions on Amendment 2? Any real estimate of the money would have resulted in a crushing defeat of Amendment 2. This is why the funding was postponed for MOSIRA until 2011. Absolutely everything the proponents of Amendment 2 did was to conceal its reality in every way at every opportunity.

After lying about the costs and denying they would ask for any funding, we find in section 348.256 of the MOSIRA language:

15. No later than the first day of January each year, the corporation shall submit an annual report to the governor and to the Missouri general assembly which the corporation may contract with a third party to prepare and which shall include....:

(2) Complete and detailed information about the **distributions** from the Missouri science and innovation reinvestment fund and from any **income** of the corporation; *[all emphasis added unless otherwise noted]*

and more noteworthy in section 348.261:

3. Except as expressly provided in sections 348.250 to 348.275, **all monies earned or received** by the corporation, **including all funds derived from the**

commercialization of science and innovation products, methods, services, and technology by the corporation, or any affiliate or subsidiary thereof, or from the Missouri science and innovation reinvestment fund, shall belong exclusively to and be subject to the exclusive control of the corporation.

Remember that the Missouri Technology Corporation is controlled by 15 appointed directors. And it is specifically not subject to the Sunshine Law. And Donn Rubin, who is an employee of the Danforth Plant Sciences Center and was a principal spokesperson for the Missouri Coalition for Lifesaving Cures during the \$30,000,000 campaign for Amendment 2, is still a director of Missouri Technology Corporation.

Unbelievable! The taxpayers of Missouri are going to assume all the risks of creating the MOSIRA Complex after struggling through the worst recession since the Great Depression. Then, all the rewards, if any, will belong to the chosen few selected by just a few appointed directors of the Missouri Technology Corporation. Who voted for this?

This raises the question about who will be the real beneficiaries of any economic benefits and how they will be chosen. Back when we were trying to explain the 2,000 word text of Amendment 2 to the voters, we came across the following information just in time for our final newspaper ads (See *A Word-By-Word Critique of the So-Called "Missouri Stem Cell Research and Cures Initiative"* at www.missouriroundtable.org). Here is how to convert taxpayer dollars into private wealth in places and ways not known to busy, hard working citizens and taxpayers of Missouri. These are precisely defined methods to rip off profits in all sorts of ways.

The skeleton of a structure to flow money through the MOSIRA Complex already exists:

BioMed Valley Partnership (BVP) (Tax-exempt entity)

The Stowers Institute is a partner in the BioMed Valley Partnership. "One of the [partnership] requirements will be that the Institute turn over *all of its future scientific discoveries* to BioMedValley Discovery," a *for-profit* Delaware Corporation. (The Stowers Report, Fall 2002)

BioMed Valley Corporation (BVC) (Tax-exempt entity)

BioMed Valley Corporation manages the BVP. The Stowers Institute controls BioMed Valley Corporation through its control of the board of directors. "SIMR [Stowers Institute For Medical Research] has the right to appoint as many... directors as necessary for SIMR to represent a majority of the board of directors" of BioMed Valley Corporation. (Stowers Institute Annual Report, 2005)

BioMed Valley Discoveries, Inc. (BVD) (For-profit corporation)

BioMed Valley Discoveries, Inc., the *for-profit Delaware Corporation* that will receive the "future scientific discoveries" of the Stowers Institute, "is wholly owned by BVC" (Stowers Annual Report, 2005). BioMed Valley Discoveries, Inc. "will have the exclusive right to seek, patent, develop, and market all the

discoveries arising from the laboratories of the research partners” (Stowers Annual Report, 2002). The Stowers Institute controls BVD through its control of BVC.

And from the 2005 Stowers annual report we find other relevant details:

BioMed Valley Corporation (BVC) began operations in 2003... **University of Missouri-Kansas City (UMKC)** became a Research Partner in December 2004 and the University of Kansas (KU) became a Research Partner in January 2005.

So, how about this? The University of Missouri-KC (UMKC) became a research partner in December 2004, and, to meet partnership requirements, UMKC, mostly funded by the taxpayers, will have to turn over all its future scientific discoveries to BioMed Valley Discoveries. BioMed Valley Discoveries “will have the exclusive right to seek, patent, develop and market all the discoveries arising from the laboratories of the research partners,” including UMKC. This concealment of material facts about how Missouri taxpayer dollars will be converted to private wealth alone should be grounds for invalidating the 2006 vote of Amendment 2. Nowhere else in commerce could parties to a financial transaction of this magnitude be denied this type of material information and not have it be fraud!

The net effect of all this is that to the extent that the Stowers Institute receives Missouri PUBLIC FUNDS for its “stem cell research”, the taxpayers of Missouri, who will get nothing, will effectively be supporting high-risk basic research. Under this particular scheme, at least one-half of the value of any discoveries are transferred to the for-profit BioMed Valley Discoveries, Inc. Because BioMed Valley Discoveries is a for-profit corporation, its stock can be owned by individual and entities as yet unknown, just as is the case for any other common stock.

This is how venture capital works. A small group of people get control of a latent value through a closely-held company. When the value matures, they “take the company public” so that the equity market can value the company and make it liquid.

The controlling directors of BioMed Valley Discoveries, Inc. can create any variety of stock allocation, stock incentive, stock option, management incentive programs, etc., to distribute part of the realized market value of the then publicly held stock to any individuals or other entities that they choose.

So it is very important to note who controls the succession of directors in BioMed Valley Discoveries, Inc. We will here restate the relevant cite from the Financial Notes of the Stowers Report of 2005 (pp. 22-23):

[E]ach Research Partner has the ability to appoint one director to the board of directors of BVC; however, SIMR [Stowers Institute for Medical Research] has the right to appoint as many additional directors as necessary for SIMR to represent a majority of the board of directors. Furthermore, an affirmative vote of the majority of the board members appointed by SIMR are

required to approve significant transactions, changes in structure, liquidation, and other specified activities of BVC...

In other words, Stowers Institute for Medical Research controls the for-profit BioMed Valley Discoveries, Inc. through its control of the board of directors of BioMed Valley Corporation, which wholly owns BioMed Valley Discoveries, Inc. Of course other institutions will use variations of this scheme to do the same thing—that is, convert public funds furnished by the citizens of Missouri into patent royalties and common stock that can be held by individuals and entities chosen by the then governing entities. And, as of 2004, the University of Missouri System, through UMKC, is already a member.

We always end up with the same question. Did any proponent of, or spokesperson for, Amendment 2 ever tell anyone about this? If not, why not?

And who gets the other half of the profits? From the 2002 Annual Report of the Stowers Institute, we learn that:

...the Stowers Institute stands ready to become the first Research Partner of Biomed Valley Corporation, he said one of the requirements will be that the Institute turn over all of its future scientific discoveries to Biomed Valley Discovery for development and marketing. In return, scientists of the Institute 'can look forward to having an excellent company especially created to patent, develop and market discoveries arising from their research. This enables scientists to focus on their basic biomedical research while still being rewarded with half of all the profits derived from their discoveries.'

The MOSIRA Complex's mandate fits right into this existing schematic design.

4. The board shall establish and maintain a research alliance of Missouri to be comprised of the chief research officers, or their designee, of the state's leading research universities and a representative of other leading not-for-profit research institutes headquartered in Missouri.... The research alliance of Missouri shall prepare annual reports at the direction of the corporation that....:
 - (2) Evaluate the ability of each member to realign their research and development resources, policies, and practices to seize emerging opportunities;
 - (3) Evaluate and summarize the best national and international practices for technology commercialization of university research....; and....
5. The board may establish other committees.... Such committees may include ... individuals of national or international prominence in science and innovation and/or the business and commercialization of science and innovation.
6. The board may establish rules, policies, and procedures for the selection and conduct of committees and advisory boards, and the research alliance of Missouri; provided, however, that the members of such committees and advisory boards agree to be bound by a conflict of interest policy consistent with the highest ethical standards that is suitable for such advisory roles.... such conflicts of interest policy.

The existence of the conflicts of interest policy is absolute proof that a lot of money is going to be passed around to the chosen winners. But, there is no way to penetrate the facts because, under MOSIRA, the Missouri Technology Corporation will not be subject to the Missouri Sunshine Law. This is an outrage! And even worse, the winners of MOSIRA money will not be subject to the Sunshine Law. So, the conflict of interest provisions are moot! This is just like so much of the language of the Cloners. Sounds ok, but is effectively meaningless. This is all part of the fraud.

Thus, there will be plenty of opportunity for conflicts of interest arising from money making transactions that will never be disclosed. But the statute finds no conflict in taking Missouri taxpayer money, and remitting nothing back to the State of Missouri.

Other means of generating income and distributions which are the result of making profits include:

- 348.261. 1. The corporation shall have all of the powers necessary or convenient to carry out the purposes and provisions of sections 348.250 to 348.275, including the powers as specified therein, and without limitation, the power to....
- (4) Determine specific areas in which financial investment in scientific and technological research and development from private businesses located in Missouri could be enhanced or increased if state resources were made available to assist in financing activities....;
- (6) Assist in financing the establishment and continued development of technology-intensive businesses in Missouri....;
- (10) Provide financial assistance through contracts, grants and loans to programs of scientific and technological research and development;
- (11) Determine how public universities can increase income derived from the sale or licensure of products or processes having commercial value that are developed as a result of university sponsored research programs;
- (12) Contract with innovation centers...small business development corporations...centers for advanced technology...and other entities or organizations for the provision of technology application, technology commercialization and technology development services;
- (13) Make direct seed capital or venture capital investments in Missouri business investment funds or businesses that demonstrate the promise of growth and job creation. Investments from the corporation may be in the form of debt or equity in the respective businesses;
- (14) Make and execute contracts, guarantees, or any other instruments and agreements necessary or convenient for the exercise of its powers and functions....;

More evidence of why MOSIRA is exempt from Sunshine Laws to help the thieves stay hidden?! There is going to be more financial wheeling and dealing and picking of winners and losers than anyone could ever imagine. Why aren't these privileged "winners" going to be able to be exposed to public inspection of what they are going to get? **This fact alone should cause every**

legislator to vote against it. It is a final element of the ongoing fraud. They conceal their machinations from public inspection by closing and locking the doors of public inspection. No Sunshine Law! The Cloners don't ever want anyone to know how any profit of the MOSIRA Complex is distributed to the winners that the 15 member board will choose.

This Complex will become a monopoly in the exchange of the aforementioned information on the scientific resources, innovation and small business development throughout Missouri. By granting funding or guaranteeing something, or just being the easiest source to go to for first stage funding, the MOSIRA Complex will use taxpayer funding to become a "first stage investor" in whatever they want, and, thereby, become an early controlling position in anything they want. By not having to use their own scarce money in the early stage, high risk investments, this Complex will have an absolute competitive advantage over all other investors who could otherwise provide private capital. The Missouri taxpayers will be exploited! Anybody who wants to do anything will flock to the doors of the MOSIRA Complex.

The taxpayers will assume all their risks, and this 15-person board of the MOSIRA Complex will distribute any profits without anyone ever publishing who got what proceeds. This system, Amendment 2 and funding for the MOSIRA Complex, is why the Cloners spent \$30,000,000 to pass Amendment 2 and now MOSIRA. They intend to make an enormous return on their \$30,000,000 investment. **They have created a giant venture capital firm using taxpayer money to take all the risks. Truly breathtaking.**

What voters could have imagined this when they walked into the booth on November 7, 2006?

In our Press Release on February 8, 2012, we requested that

...both the House and the Senate...fulfill their fiduciary obligations by providing Missouri taxpayers with detailed projections of the potential costs of MOSIRA over the next twenty-five years.

We believe that the economic variables that define the Missouri Technology Corporation's claims on taxpayer funds are stated in about the most unclear methodology possible. Like all things related to Amendment 2, MOSIRA is designed to confuse anybody but the most determined reader.

For instance, on page 3 of the MOSIRA bill, Section 348.250 defines the following terms, each of which has no specific qualifications or limitations:

- ...(2) "Applied research", any activity that seeks to utilize, synthesize, or apply existing knowledge, information, or resources to the resolution of a specific problem, question, or issue of science and innovation, including but not limited to translational research....;
- (5) "Basic research", any original investigation for the advancement of scientific or technical knowledge of science and innovation;
- (6) "Commercialization", any of the full spectrum of activities required for a new technology, product, or process to be developed from the basic research or

conceptual stage through applied research or development to the marketplace, including without limitation, the steps leading up to and including licensing, sales, and service....;

(8) "Fields of applicable expertise", any of the following fields: science and innovation research, development, or commercialization, including basic research and applied research; corporate finance, venture capital, and private equity related to science and innovation; the business and management of science and innovation companies; education related to science and innovation; or civic or corporate leadership in areas related to science and innovation....;

(11) "Science and innovation", the use of compositions and methods in research, development, and manufacturing processes for such diverse areas as agriculture-biotechnology, animal health, biochemistry, bioinformatics, energy, environment, forestry, homeland security, information technology, medical devices, medical diagnostics, medical instruments, medical therapeutics, microbiology, nanotechnology, pharmaceuticals, plant biology, and veterinary medicine, including future developments in such areas;

(12) "**Science and innovation company**", a corporation, limited liability company, S corporation, partnership, registered limited liability partnership, foundation, association, nonprofit entity, sole proprietorship, business trust, person, group, or other entity that is:

(a) Engaged in the research, development, commercialization, or business of science and innovation in the state, including, without limitation, research, development, or production directed toward developing or providing science and innovation products, processes, or services for specific commercial or public purposes, including hospitals, nonprofit research institutions, incubators, accelerators, and universities currently located or involved in the research, development, commercialization, or business of science and innovation in the state; or

(b) Identified by the following NAICS industry groups or NAICS codes or any amended or successor code sections covering such areas of research, development, and commercial endeavors: 3251; 3253; 3254; 65 3391; 51121; 54138; 54171; 62231; 111191; 111421; 111920; 111998; 311119; 66 311211; 311221; 311222; 311223; 325193; 325199; 325221; 325222; 325611; 67 325612; 325613; 325311; 325312; 325314; 325320; 325411; 325412; 325414; 68 333298; 334510; 334516; 334517; 339111; 339112; 339113; 339114; 339115; 69 339116; 424910; 541710; 621511; and 621512. Each of the above listed four-digit and five-digit codes shall include all six-digit codes in such four-digit and five-digit industry; however, each six-digit code shall stand alone and not indicate the inclusion of other omitted six-digit codes that also are subsets of the pertinent four-digit or five-digit industry to which the included six-digit code belongs;

(13) "**Science and innovation employee**", any employee, officer, or director of a science and innovation company who is a state income taxpayer and any employee of a university who is associated with or supports the research, development, commercialization, or business of science and technology in the state and is obligated to pay state income tax to the state;

Stop! This maybe the most important information in calculating Missouri Technology Corporation's claim on taxpayer funds. If you plow carefully through Sections 11 to here, it appears that the wages paid to any employee paying Missouri state income taxes and who are employees in any of these myriad of activities will be used in a calculation!!

Our interest is piqued to find out where this data fits into the scheme. You scratch your head and go all the way back to page 3 of the MOSIRA Bill, but only if you are an extremely dedicated reader. And here you find a very specific mathematical statement in the definitions section:

(1) "Applicable percentage", six percent for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2012, and the next fourteen consecutive fiscal years; five percent for the immediately subsequent five fiscal years; and four percent for the immediately subsequent five fiscal years;

And, then on page 4 of the MOSIRA Bill, you find in passing:

(4) "Base year gross wages", gross wages paid by science and innovation companies to science and innovation employees during fiscal year ending June 30, 2010;

But, you are just beginning the torture of reading this MOSIRA Bill, you're in the definitions section, and maybe whizzing through or even skipping it. And so, you have just passed over some of the most important information in the text. You really have to look hard to overcome the writers' skills in concealment and writing "legal" texts that always have one goal—make it as difficult as possible for any reader to find the truth. This summarizes the modus operandi of what all the lawyers do for the Cloners.

As you continue reading, struggling to understand the MOSIRA maze, you suddenly get to page 20 of the MOSIRA Bill and get a rude shock:

348.264. There is hereby established in the state treasury a special fund to be known as the "Missouri Science and Innovation Reinvestment Fund"...which shall consist of all moneys which may be appropriated to it by the general assembly based on the **applicable percentage of the amount by which science and innovation employees' gross wages for the year exceeds the base year gross wages pursuant to section 348.265; other funds appropriated to it by the general assembly....**

Remember that "applicable percentage" was right at the beginning of section 348.251's definitions on page 3 of the bill. Yes, it was surrounded by absolutely nothing that related to it. So, let's see if we can write a general equation based on this language:

Money Appropriated = ((Science and Innovation Employees Gross Wages in year X) – (Science and Innovation Employees Gross Wages in the Base Year))

x the Applicable Percentage = The Money Appropriated under MOSIRA for a given year¹

There is a calculation for each year of the 25 years until 2037. The cumulative amount of money could become really big because there is a hidden element of acceleration in the natural economic growth of the economy within any wage classification.

But you cannot tell anything until enough of the wages qualifying for inclusion are determined from the vague and limitless boundaries of the statutory language. This determination will affect the outcome of the calculations more than anything. For example, if the result of the calculation in one of the qualifying wages is in the range of \$10,000,000, the result will be something. But the reality will be something much greater if the amount of the calculation is over \$100,000,000.

Money Transferred = ((Science and Innovation Employees Gross Wages in year X) – (Science and Innovation Employees Gross Wages in base year)) **[for all 25 years]** x Applicable % (Given at 5 or 6%)

Yet, at this point, we have only one, absolute value and that is for multiplier 6% for 2012 to 2025 and 5% for years 2026 to 2037.

How do we get a value for “Science and Innovation Employees Gross Wages” for any year? “Science and Innovation Employee Gross Wages” include EVERY EMPLOYEE in these work classifications WHO PAY STATE INCOME TAXES.

Naturally, this process is difficult to understand. But, the simple underlying mathematical principle is that the amount of the wages of all of these people working throughout Missouri is anyone who pays state income taxes and works on the applicable classification.

It is absolutely critical who determines who qualifies for inclusion under these work classifications. Well, well. No surprise here. Two state employees, the Director of the Department of Economic Development and Director of the Department of Revenue, will calculate the amount out of these qualifying wages of all the workers working under these boundaryless definitions in their complete, arbitrary discretion. They are on the state payroll and will be accountable only to the Governor, who desperately wants this funding for his crony supporters. Keep in the back of your mind that Missouri Gross Domestic Product in 2010 was \$224 billion. If the amount of the qualifying wages was just 1% of the state GDP, these wages would equal \$2.24 billion. If this is the amount of Science and Innovation Employee Wages, you have a very large number that is subject to a mathematical calculation every year. In our press release on February 14, 2012, we asked the State House and Senate for their own independent calculation of these amounts. Good luck taxpayers, for if MOSIRA ever passes, the Legislature will never again be involved in calculating these values.

But, now all the proponents will start shouting, especially those who have taken vast amounts of political contributions from the Cloners. They will contend that any money is subject to

¹ The first year is 2012 (p. 21 of the MOSIRA Bill). The base year is 2010 (p. 4 of the MOSIRA Bill). The Applicable %=2012-2026=6%. The Applicable %=2027-2037=5%.

appropriation by the Legislature. What does this mean? You must turn back now to that already discredited horror, Amendment 2. Sections 5 and 7 of Amendment 2 are relevant to our discussion and are as follows:

5. To ensure that no governmental body or official arbitrarily restricts funds designated for purposes other than stem cell research... as a means of inhibiting lawful stem cell research... no state or local governmental body or official shall eliminate, reduce, deny, or withhold any public funds...provided to a person that (i) lawfully conducts stem cell research... (ii) receives or is eligible to receive such public funds for purposes other than such stem cell-related activities...for the purpose of creating disincentives for any person to engage in...stem cell-related activities....

7. ...[A]ll state and local laws... and other governmental actions shall be construed in favor of...stem cell research.... No state or local law... or other governmental action shall (i) prevent, restrict, obstruct, or discourage any stem cell research...or (ii) create disincentives for any person to engage in or otherwise associate with such research....

Supposing after a couple of years of operation of MOSIRA, the Cloners assert a claim that the Legislature cannot reduce the money appropriated to MOSIRA. Remember that they spent over \$30,000,000 to pass this monstrosity.

Maybe they will just ask Attorney General Chris Koster for his legal opinion as to how Sections 5 and 7 apply to MOSIRA's appropriations. The next question is who has standing to oppose such a request. Who will have the standing, time or money to argue, through the Missouri Court System, against an Attorney General's opinion as to what this means? And at that point, with respect to Amendment 2 and MOSIRA, legislative democracy is dead. The Courts, not the people through their elected representatives, will make the final determination.

You will need the following relevant information to decide what you think Mr. Koster's opinion will be. Since the first business days of 2007, just two months after the passage of Amendment 2 by the very slim margin of 1.5%, Chris Koster has received almost \$400,000 of campaign contributions from well-recognized Cloners. Do you think the Cloners had anything to do with a piece of legislation that changed Missouri campaign finance laws to permit unlimited campaign contributions that were first effective in January 1, 2007? Now you will have a better idea of what Chris Koster might do in rendering an opinion on Amendment 2's Sections 5 and 7. If he finds on behalf of the Cloners, the taxpayers and citizens of Missouri will have taken the final mortal blow by these vast, arcane and deliberately designed exquisite frauds--Amendment 2 and its funding spawn, MOSIRA.

Perhaps the only true, final judgment on the architects, proponents and minions of this violent raid on the voters, taxpayers and State Treasury will ultimately have to come from the only truly fair seat of final judgment—a panel of jurors.